Institute of High Current Electronics
LABORATORY OF OPTICAL RADIATION
LABORATORY OF PLASMA EMISSION ELECTRONICS

Influence of UV radiation and discharge plasma on feed wheat seeds for acceleration of plants

M. Vorobiev, S. Doroshkevich, E. Lipatov, M. Shulepov

The work was performed in the framework of the State task for IHCE SB RAS, project #13.1.4.

INTRODUCTION

For farmers, climate has always been a real problem, the solution of even parts of which is always fraught with great financial difficulties and labor costs. At the same time, there remains the risk of not a return on investment. In agriculture, there are many approaches to some extent that facilitate the work of farmers. But under the conditions of observed climate change, many of the methods developed over decades are no longer justifying themselves. Here, breeding work with plants, their gene modification and increase in the growing season due to the construction of greenhouse complexes show themselves in the best way. But selection selection takes several years, and even decades of rigorous work. The population is genomically modified with great suspicion. And the construction and maintenance of greenhouse complexes is very financially expensive and is not suitable for growing many plants. A search for new solutions is needed. One possible method is to reduce the ripening time of plants.

As studies of recent decades have shown, the acceleration of plant growth and maturation is best affected by irradiation of seed with UV radiation in the range of 300-350 nm [1-5]. But here a technical problem arises. In the laboratory, the results are very encouraging. But under the conditions of a granary, the quality of irradiation noticeably decreases, since dust settles on UV lamps, forming a carbon deposit that does not transmit radiation. And additional ventilation does not always save the situation.

An alternative method is to irradiate plant seeds with ionizing radiation, which leads to disinfection (which is good) and destruction of the embryo (this is not very good) [6]. At the same time, the prolonged exposure to ionizing radiation, for example, on cereal seeds, changes the chemical composition of grain, impairs its nutritional quality. But despite the great interest in the world in the radiation effect on various cultivated plants, and the increase in research intensity, a lot remains unknown.

In 2018, experiments were conducted at the Laboratory of Plasma Emission Electronics (IHCE SB RAS) on the effects of a low-energy electron beam on barley seeds.

The study showed that when exposed to radiation with a dose of 1-5 kGy. Along with radisidation, the growth of roots of barley seeds was stimulated. And at a dose of 8 kGy, plant growth is inhibited. But from the literature it is known that inhibition of plant growth occurs already at a dose of 1 kGy [7]. As a rule, stimulation of plant growth by ionizing radiation is carried out with a dose in the range of 0.003-0.05 kGy [8].

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Seed pretreatment was carried out at two experimental setup.
In the first experimental setup, used by us for several years (the scheme and photo are shown here) , the grain was irradiated with UV radiation from an XeCl excilamp (λ = 308 nm). The radiation doses were 1.26 J/cm^2, 3.15 J/cm^2, 4.41 J/cm^2. At the same time, part of the grain was processed 7 days, and part 1 day before sowing. The irradiation part of the setup consists of a XeCl excilamp of a barrier discharge (1), where the external electrode (2) is made of reflective foil and the internal (3) is wound from wire. Due to this, radiation from the region of the barrier discharge (4) propagated into the internal volume of the lamp, where grain was supplied through the screw mechanism (5).





EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The scheme and photo of setup "Duet" for grain processing by ionizing radiation is presented here. Here wheat was treated with radiation with doses of 5 and 10 kGy. As in the previous case, part of the grain was processed 7 days, and part 1 day before sowing. The installation is a vacuum chamber (1) separated from the external environment with foil (2). Inside is a plasma emitter (3), consisting of a hollow anode (4), a cathode (5) and an ignition electrode (6). Electrons from the plasma of an arc discharge through the emission grid (7) and foil (2) were removed outside the chamber, and acted on the grain located in the cell (8).





EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

During the exposure, part of the grain was turned over and processed repeatedly with the same dose. Thus, as a result of processing, the samples for sowing were obtained, are presented in table.

UV treated grain		
Dose, J/cm^2	1 day	7 days
0 (Control)	*	*
1,26	*	*
1,89	*	*
3,15	*	*
4,41		*
Grain treated with ionizing radiation		
Dose, kGy	1 day	7 days
5		
5 (twice)	*	*
10	*	*
10 (twice)	*	*

For these reasons, one should not talk about any crop. However, one can get an idea of the relative values, since both the control and radiation-treated samples were under the same conditions.
Grain was collected from each of the plots from 1 m2 on September 1, 2019. Thus, the vegetation time was artificially reduced to 82 days.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the graphs it can be seen that the grain mass fluctuates around 20-30 mg, while it is noticeable that the seeds planted immediately after irradiation produced more massive grains than those that were planted with a delay of 7 days. It can also be noted that treatment with UV radiation with a dose of 3.15 J / cm^2 and higher did not contribute to an increase in the mass of grains relative to the control sample. The impact of ionizing radiation had little effect on the increase in mass of grains relative to the control sample.



The average mass of grain in the harvested crop. a. - after preliminary irradiation with an XeCl excilamp. b. - after a single exposure to the setup "Duet". c. - after double exposure on the setup "Duet". 1 - ripened grain from areas where sowing was done 1 day after irradiation, 2 - unripe grain from the same sites, 3 - ripened grain from sites where sowing was 7 days after irradiation, 4 - unripened grain from the same areas.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

On this slide shows that the mass of ripened grain relative to the total mass collected from the plots is 60% and does not differ in this value from the control, with the exception of two samples where there was no ripened grain.



The mass of ripened grain relative to the total amount collected from the site. a. - after treatment with UV radiation: 1 - from the areas where the sowing was done 1 day after the radiation treatment, 2 - from the areas where the sowing was done 7 days after the irradiation. b. - after treatment with ionizing radiation: 1 - one-time, delay of 7 days, 3 - one-time, delay of 1 day, 3 - two-time, delay of 7 days, 4 - two-time, delay of 1 day.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The amount of ripened grain collected from the areas where the treated grain was planted significantly exceeds the control sample. But the greatest advantage was given to those plants whose seeds were treated with UV radiation with doses of 1.26 J/cm^2, 1.89 J/cm^2 and ionizing radiation with a dose of 5 kGy. Moreover, UV processing of the grain gave a much smaller scatter of values.



The amount of ripened grain relative to the total number collected from the plots. a. - after treatment with UV radiation: 1 - from the areas where the sowing was done 1 day after the radiation treatment, 2 - from the areas where the sowing was done 7 days after the irradiation. b. - after treatment with ionizing radiation: 1-time, delay of 7 days, 3 - time, delay of 1 day, 3 - time-delay, delay of 7 days, 4 - time-delay, delay of 1 day.

CONCLUSION

Despite all the difficulties of growth, greater competition from weeds, a significantly reduced vegetation period (only 82 days), Iren wheat showed interesting results.
First, the amount of grain collected from equal-sized sections is at best ~ 6 times greater than the control sample, regardless of the irradiation method. Moreover, despite the large scatter of values, due to the small number of samples, even treatment with ionizing radiation in rather large doses stimulated rather than depressed plant growth.
Secondly, confirming our early studies, UV irradiation with a dose of more than 3.15 J/cm^2 apparently does not have a mass stimulating character. Most likely, in this case, part of the seeds was excessively irradiated and germination was inhibited, and part of the seeds received a stimulating dose. Due to the fact that the seeds, when fed by the screw mechanism, are not distributed on the inner surface of the lamp in a thin layer.
Unfortunately, in this experiment, we were not able to create more representative conditions for plant growth. Nevertheless, in our opinion, the results obtained are of some interest and more accurately determine the boundaries of further research.

REFERENCES

[1] "Weather and Climate" (in Russian), <http://www.pogodaiklimat.ru> (6 Novemer 2018).

[2] Heijde M., Ulm R., "UV-B photoreceptor-mediated signalling in plants", Trends in plant science, 17(4), pp. 230-237, 2012.

[3] Safaralikhonov, A. B., Khudoerbekov, F. N., Aknazarov, O. A., "Influence of presowing UV irradiation of seeds of wheat plants on their subsequent growth and intensity of leaf transpiration" (in Russian), Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan, 59 (7 -8), pp. 344-349, 2016.

[4] Sosnin E. A., Goltsova P. A., Panarin V. A. et al. "Perspectives on the use of XeCl excilamps in agriculture" (in Russian), Innovations in Agriculture, 24 (3), pp. 8-17, 2017.

[5] Dotto M., Casati P. "Developmental reprogramming by UV-B radiation in plants", Plant Science, 264, pp. 96-101, 2017.

[6] Aleksandrov Yu. A., Fundamentals of Radiation Ecology: Study Guide (in Russian), Mar. state un-t; Yoshkar-Ola, 268, 2007.

[7] T.V. Chizh, N.N. Loy, A.N. Pavlov 1, M.S. Vorobyov, S.Y. Doroshkevich, Low-energy electron beams for protection of grain crops from insect pests and diseases. Journal of Physics: Conference Series 2018. P. 022025.

[8] G.V. Kozmin, N.I. Sanzharova, I.I. Kibina, A.N. Pavlov, V.N. Tikhonov, "Radiation technologies in agriculture and food industry" (in Russian), Achievements of science and technology in the agro-industrial complex. 2015. No. 5. pp. 87-92.